Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/522,478	COURTNEY, STEPHEN BENJAMIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LEE D. WILSON	3727	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the Period for Reply	cover sheet with the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE statesons of time may be available under the provisions of 3T CFR 1.136(a). In no expected the St. (6) MCWT1/5 from the making date of this communication. For the St. (6) MCWT1/5 from the making date of this communication. The state of the stat	HIS COMMUNICATION. ant, however, may a ropty be timely filed all expires SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. discation to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is n	on-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 7-13</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6 and 14-22</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election r	equirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
decine attached detailed office action for a list of the certain	ned copies not received.		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 4/27/09 . 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

6) Other: _

Application/Control Number: 10/522,478 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Previous Office Action Vacated

 The previous office action has been vacated filed on 3/6/09. Please respond to the instant office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1 and 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sauers (3150394).

Sauers discloses an appliance having a main body (14), a support assembly (12 The member 12 along with is casing can be hollow with at least hollow portions.), a surface treating head (20), and plurality of rotatable members (75). In regard to claims 5-7 the language is broad enough where the prior art reads on it.

Allowable Subject Matter

 Claims 2-6 and 14-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/522,478 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

a. The after final amendment was entered and new art was found and applied against the claims. New allowable subject matter identified. This art changed the subject matters disscused in the interview.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D. WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MONICA CARTER can be reached on 571-272-4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,478 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

Ldw

/LEE D WILSON/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3727

April 27, 2009